

**UNITED STATES /DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/444,120 11/19/99 SHIODA

Y 019441.023

EXAMINER

QM12/0531
DALBERT U SHEFTE
KENNEDY COVINGTON LOBDELL AND HICKMAN
4200 BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATE CENTER
100 N TRYON STREET
CHARLOTTE NC 28202-4006

GORDON, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED:

05/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/444,120	Applicant(s) Yoshikiko Shioda
	Examiner Chapman	Group Art Unit 3711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chapman

(3) Yoshikiko Shioda (Inventor)

(2) Dalbert Sheffte (Atty)

(4) Mayumi Burnham (Interpreter)

Date of Interview May 29, 2001

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 24

Identification of prior art discussed:

Wallace

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative suggested that the claims be amended to recite a system or combination of a golf club with the practice device. The SPE reminded the representative that the prior art device for practicing golf was employed with golf clubs

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


JEANETTE CHAPMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.